# organic compounds

Acta Crystallographica Section C Crystal Structure Communications ISSN 0108-2701

# 2-Bromo-5-hydroxybenzaldehyde

# A. Matos Beja,<sup>a</sup> J. A. Paixão,<sup>a</sup>\* M. Ramos Silva,<sup>a</sup> L. Alte da Veiga,<sup>a</sup> A. M. d'A. Rocha Gonsalves<sup>b</sup> and A. C. Serra<sup>c</sup>

<sup>a</sup>Departamento de Física, Faculdade de Ciências e Tecnologia, Universidade de Coimbra, P-3000 Coimbra, Portugal, <sup>b</sup>Departamento de Química, Faculdade de Ciências e Tecnologia, Universidade de Coimbra, P-3000 Coimbra, Portugal, and <sup>c</sup>Universidade Católica Portuguesa, Pólo da Figueira da Foz, P-3080 Figueira da Foz, Portugal

Correspondence e-mail: jap@pollux.fis.uc.pt

Received 16 November 1999 Accepted 24 November 1999

The molecules of the title compound,  $C_7H_5BrO_2$ , form zigzag chains running along the *b* axis and are stacked in layers perpendicular to the *a* axis. Intermolecular bonding occurs through hydrogen bonds linking the hydroxyl and carbonyl groups, with an  $O \cdots O$  distance of 2.804 (4) Å. The Br atom deviates significantly from the plane of the ring and the aldehyde group is twisted by 7.1 (5)° around the  $Csp^2-C_{aryl}$  bond. The geometry of the molecule in the crystal is compared to that given by *ab initio* quantum mechanical calculations for the isolated molecule, using a molecular orbital Hartree–Fock method and density functional theory.

## Comment

We have recently reported the structures of 2,4-dibromo and 2,4,6-tribromo derivatives of *m*-hydroxybenzaldehyde (Matos Beja, Paixão, Ramos Silva, Alte da Veiga *et al.*, 1997; Matos Beja, Paixão, Ramos Silva, Rocha Gonsalves *et al.*, 1997), compounds which we came across as precursors for the synthesis of *meso*-tetraaryl-substituted porphyrins. We report here the synthesis and the crystal structure of the monobromo derivative of *m*-hydroxybenzaldehyde.

Hodgson & Beard (1925) mention that monobromination of m-hydroxybenzaldehyde in chloroform occurs at positions 2 and 4 and isolated the 2-bromoderivative. Pandya *et al.* (1952)



carried out the bromination in acetic acid and isolated a product with a very similar melting point to that obtained by Hodgson & Beard and identified it as the 4-bromoderivative. In order to clarify which isomer is obtained by monobromination of *m*-hydroxybenzaldehyde we followed Pandya's conditions as well as Hodgson & Beard's and have isolated the same compound in both conditions. This was





ORTEPII (Johnson, 1976) plot of the title compound. Displacement ellipsoids are drawn at the 50% probability level.

identified by X-ray diffraction as the title compound, the 2bromo-5-hydroxybenzaldehyde isomer, (I) (Fig. 1).

The internal bond angles of the ring at C1 [118.3 (3) $^{\circ}$ ] and C2  $[121.1 (3)^{\circ}]$  deviate significantly from the ideal value of 120°. While the hydroxyl-O2 atom is coplanar with the benzene ring within experimental uncertainty, both the aldehyde group and the Br atom are tilted out from this plane. The deviations from the least-squares benzene ring plane are Br 0.032(5), C7 - 0.042(5) and O1 - 0.181(6) Å. The C7-C1 bond is slightly tilted out of the ring plane and there is also a pronounced in-plane twist as shown by the large asymmetry between the C6-C1-C7  $[117.4 (3)^{\circ}]$  and C2-C1-C7  $[124.3 (3)^{\circ}]$  bond angles. In addition, the aldehyde group is rotated by 7.1 (5)° around the C1-C7 bond. These effects may be due to a steric interaction between the formyl-H atom and the bulky Br atom, but may also reflect to some extent the involvement of the aldehyde group in intermolecular hydrogen-bond interactions. In order to distinguish between these two effects, we have performed an optimization of the geometry of the isolated molecule by ab initio quantum mechanical molecular orbital Hartree-Fock (MO-HF) calculations using the computer code GAMESS (Schmidt et al., 1993). The atomic wave-functions of the light atoms were expanded on a standard 6-31G(d,p) basis set and for the Br atom the 'double zeta' basis set of Binning & Curtiss (1990) was used. The optimization was conducted starting from the experimental X-ray geometry without imposing any symmetry constraint on the molecule. Each self-consistent field calculation was iterated until a  $\Delta \rho$  of less than  $10^{-5}$  bohr<sup>-3</sup> was achieved. The final equilibrium geometry at the minimum energy had a maximum gradient in internal coordinates of



Figure 2

Projection of the crystal structure on the bc plane showing the hydrogenbonding chains running along the b axis.

 $10^{-5}$  Hartree bohr<sup>-1</sup> or  $10^{-5}$  Hartree rad<sup>-1</sup>. A similar geometry optimization was also performed using a density functional theory (DFT) hamiltonian, with similar results to the Hartree–Fock calculation. The DFT calculations were performed with the computer code *DeFT2.2* (St-Amant *et al.*, 1998) employing a VWC exchange-correlation potential (Vosko *et al.*, 1980). Both methods reproduce well the in-plane twist of the C1–C7 bond [calculated values: C2–C1–C7 DFT 123.53°; MO–HF 123.55°, C6–C1–C7 DFT MO–HF 117.38°]. However, the minimum energy of the molecule occurs for a geometry close to  $C_s$  symmetry where all the substituent atoms are practically within the ring plane. We conclude that the observed twist of the aldehyde group around the C1–C7 bond is due to the intermolecular interaction between the aldehyde and hydroxyl groups.

The molecules are stacked in layers perpendicular to the short *a* axis. The hydroxyl and carbonyl group interact *via* a hydrogen bond  $[O2 \cdots O1 \ 2.804 \ (4) \ \text{Å}]$  forming zigzag chains running along the *b* axis (Fig. 2). Similar chains were found in the crystal structure of 2,4,6-tribromo derivative in contrast with the situation found in the 2,4-dibromo derivative where the hydrogen bonds join pairs of molecules in dimers across a centre of symmetry. Judging by the  $O-H\cdots O$  bond distances and angles, it appears that the strongest hydrogen bonds occur in the monobromo derivative.

# **Experimental**

The title compound was prepared by slowly adding bromine (0.87 ml) to a solution of 3-hydroxybenzaldehyde (2.0 g) in glacial acetic acid (10 ml). After 3 h, water was added to precipitate a solid and the mixture was left overnight in the refrigerator. The solid was filtered and recrystallized in water to give 2.25 g of the title compound [ $\eta$  = 68%; m.p. 405–406 K, literature 406 K (Pandya *et al.*, 1952)]. MS (EI) 201 ( $M^+$ ). <sup>1</sup>H NMR (300 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>/DMSO-d<sub>6</sub>, p.p.m.):  $\delta$  10.1 (*s*, 1H, CHO), 9.7 (*s*, 1H, OH), 7.4 (*d*, 1H, *J* = 8.7 Hz, CH-aryl), 7.2 (*d*, 1H, *J* = 3.0 Hz, CH-aryl), 6.9 (*dd*, 1H, *J* = 8.7 and 3.0 Hz, CH-aryl); <sup>13</sup>C NMR (75.5 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>/DMSO-d<sub>6</sub>, p.p.m.): 191.4, 157.1, 134.0, 133.3, 123.1, 115.3, 114.9; IR (KBr) cm<sup>-1</sup> 3331 (*m*) (OH), 1684 (*s*, C=O), 1595, 1480 (*s*, C=C aromatic), 1305 (*s*), 1236 (*s*), 1170 (*m*, C–O), 866 (*m*), 831 (*m*), 763 (*m*), 586 (*m*) elemental analysis calculated for C<sub>7</sub>H<sub>5</sub>O<sub>2</sub>Br: C 41.8, H 2.5%; found C 41.6, H 2.4%.

#### Crystal data

C7H5BrO2	Mo $K\alpha$ radiation
$M_r = 201.01$	Cell parameters from 25
Orthorhombic, $P2_12_12_1$	reflections
a = 3.974 (3) Å	$\theta = 8.51 - 17.13^{\circ}$
b = 9.164 (8) Å	$\mu = 5.814 \text{ mm}^{-1}$
c = 19.172 (6) Å	T = 293 (2)  K
$V = 698.2 (8) \text{ Å}^3$	Block, light yellow
Z = 4	$0.38 \times 0.32 \times 0.32$ mm
$D_{\rm x} = 1.912 {\rm Mg} {\rm m}^{-3}$	

### Table 1

Selected geometric parameters (°).

C2-C1-C6	118.3 (3)	C3-C4-C5	120.1 (3)
C3-C2-C1	121.1 (3)	C6-C5-C4	120.0 (3)
C2-C3-C4	120.1 (3)	C5-C6-C1	120.4 (3)
$C_2 - C_1 - C_7 - O_1$	-172.2(4)	C6 - C1 - C7 - O1	6.5 (5)

#### Table 2

Hydrogen-bonding geometry (Å, °).

$D - H \cdot \cdot \cdot A$	D-H	$H \cdot \cdot \cdot A$	$D \cdots A$	$D - \mathbf{H} \cdots A$		
$O2-H2\cdots O1^i$	0.82	1.99	2.804 (4)	175		
Symmetry code: (i)	$-1 - x, \frac{1}{2} + y, \frac{1}{2} -$	- z.				
Data collection						
Enraf-Nonius CAD-4 diffract- ometer Profile data from $\omega$ -2 $\theta$ scans Absorption correction: $\psi$ scan (North <i>et al.</i> , 1968) $T_{\min} = 0.126$ , $T_{\max} = 0.156$ 2879 measured reflections 762 independent reflections (plus 463 Friedel-related reflections)			925 reflections with $I > 2\sigma(I)$ $R_{int} = 0.035$ $\theta_{max} = 24.97^{\circ}$ $h = 0 \rightarrow 4$ $k = -10 \rightarrow 10$ $l = -22 \rightarrow 22$ 3 standard reflections frequency: 180 min intensity decay: 8.5%			
Refinement						
Refinement on $F$ $R[F^2 > 2\sigma(F^2)] =$ $wR(F^2) = 0.051$ S = 1.048 1225 reflections 92 parameters	<sup>2</sup> 0.023	$w = w$ $(\Delta/c$ $\Delta \rho_n$ $\Delta \rho_n$ Abs	$w = 1/[\sigma^{2}(F_{o}^{2}) + (0.023P)^{2} + 0.103P]$ where $P = (F_{o}^{2} + 2F_{c}^{2})/3$ $(\Delta/\sigma)_{max} = 0.001$ $\Delta\rho_{max} = 0.38 \text{ e } \text{\AA}^{-3}$ $\Delta\rho_{min} = -0.27 \text{ e } \text{\AA}^{-3}$ Absolute structure: Flack (1983)			
H atoms constrain	ned	Flac	Flack parameter $= -0.014$ (17)			

Data collection: *CAD-4 Software* (Enraf–Nonius, 1989); cell refinement: *CAD-4 Software*; data reduction: *HELENA* (Spek, 1997); program(s) used to solve structure: *SHELXS*97 (Sheldrick, 1990); program(s) used to refine structure: *SHELXL*97 (Sheldrick, 1997); molecular graphics: *ORTEP*II (Johnson, 1976); software used to prepare material for publication: *SHELXL*97.

The authors are indebted to Dr J. C. Prata Pina for his invaluable assistance in the maintenance of the CAD-4 diffractometer. This work was supported by Fundação para a Ciência e Tecnologia (FCT) and Chymiotechnon.

Supplementary data for this paper are available from the IUCr electronic archives (Reference: SK1352). Services for accessing these data are described at the back of the journal.

## References

- Binning, R. C. Jr & Curtiss, L. A. (1990). J. Comput. Chem. 11, 1206-1216.
- Enraf-Nonius (1989). *CAD-4 Software*. Version 5.0. Enraf-Nonius, Delft, The Netherlands.
- Flack, H. D. (1983). Acta Cryst. A39, 876-881.
- Hodgson, H. H. & Beard, H. G. (1925). J. Chem. Soc. 127, 875-881.
- Johnson, C. K. (1976). ORTEPII. Report ORNL-5138. Oak Ridge National Laboratory, Tennessee, USA.
- Matos Beja, A., Paixão, J. A., Ramos Silva, M., Alte da Veiga, L., Rocha Gonsalves, A. M. d'A., Pereira, M. M. & Serra, A. C. (1997). Acta Cryst. C53, 494–496.
- Matos Beja, A., Paixão, J. A., Ramos Silva, M., Rocha Gonsalves, A. M. d'A., Pereira, M. M. & Serra, A. C. (1997). Z. Kristallogr. New Cryst. Struct. 213, 139–140.
- North, A. C. T., Phillips, D. C. & Mathews, F. S. (1968). Acta Cryst. A24, 351– 359.
- Pandya, K. C., Pandya, R. B. K. & Singh, R. N. (1952). J. Indian Chem. Soc. 29, 363–367.
- Schmidt, M. W., Baldridge, K. K., Boatz, J. A., Elbert, S. T., Gordon, M. S., Jensen, J. J., Koseki, S., Matsunaga, N., Nguyen, K. A., Su, S., Windus, T. L., Dupuis, M. & Montgomery, J. A. (1993). *J. Comput. Chem.* **14**, 1347–1363. Sheldrick, G. M. (1990). *Acta Cryst.* A**46**, 467–473.
- Sheldrick, G. M. (1997). SHELXL97. University of Göttingen, Germany.
- Spek, A. L. (1997). HELENA. University of Utrecht, The Netherlands.
- St-Amant, A., Goh, S. K. & Gallant, R. T. (1998). DeFT2.2 Software Package. University of Ottawa, Ontario, Canada.
- Vosko, H., Wilk, L. & Nusair, M. (1980). Can. J. Phys. 58, 1200-1211.